

TOR Reference No.: 2018-01	Author(s): Horst Buether / Guenter Dussing / Florin Homorean
Version: 1.0	Date: 22 October 2017
<b>TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR WORK UNDER THE AUSPICES OF IMPEL</b>	

## 1. Work type and title

1.1 Identify which Expert Team this needs to go to for initial consideration	
Industry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Waste and TFS	<input type="checkbox"/>
Water and land	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nature protection	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cross-cutting – tools and approaches -	<input type="checkbox"/>
1.2 Type of work you need funding for	
Exchange visits	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Peer reviews (e.g. IRI)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conference	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Development of tools/guidance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comparison studies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Assessing legislation (checklist)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (please describe):	<input type="checkbox"/>
1.3 Full name of work (enough to fully describe what the work area is)	
Mutual joint visits of industry inspectors and regulators to achieve a level playing field implementation of the IED and workshop on the use of BAT-conclusions in the cement production industry 2018	
1.4 Abbreviated name of work or project	
Supporting IED Implementation and BAT-conclusions 2018	

## 2. Outline business case (why this piece of work?)

2.1 Name the legislative driver(s) where they exist (name the Directive, Regulation, etc.)	
Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) BAT Reference Documents and BAT Conclusions BAT Conclusions: production of cement, lime and magnesium oxide (BAT-C CLM) Air Quality Directive Seveso III Directive	
2.2 Link to IMPEL MASP priority work areas	
1. Assist members to implement new legislation 2. Build capacity in member organisations through the IMPEL Review Initiatives 3. Work on 'problem areas' of implementation identified by IMPEL and the European Commission	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.3 Why is this work needed? (Background, motivations, aims, etc.)	
<p>Industrial production processes account for a considerable share of the overall pollution in Europe due to their emissions of air pollutants, discharges of waste water and the generation of waste. The Industrial Emissions Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and the Council (IED) is the main EU instrument regulating emissions from industrial installations. The IED aims to achieve a high level of protection of human health and the environment taken as a whole by reducing harmful industrial emissions across the EU, in particular through better application of Best Available Techniques (BAT). Around 50,000 installations undertaking industrial activities listed in Annex I of the IED are required to operate in accordance with a permit (granted by the authorities in the Member States). This permit should contain conditions set in accordance with the principles and provisions of the IED. The 2014/2015 Implementation Challenge project of IMPEL, the Industry and Air Expert Team, and consultations with the European Commission identified a lot of unresolved problems in the implementation of industrial regulation.</p> <p>COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION of 26 March 2013 establishing the best available techniques (BAT) conclusions under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on industrial emissions for the production of cement, lime and magnesium oxide. These conclusions shall be used as an example for the implementation of new BAT and discussed in a workshop. Goal of workshop: experiences &amp; best practice examples (procedures, preparation) for updating permits, new trends in cement &amp; clinker manufacturing, emission trends, use of waste (as a substitute for conventional fuels and as secondary raw material).</p> <p>The European Committee of the Regions (CoR) – in the draft opinion on Environmental Implementation Reviews (EIR):</p> <p>19. ... stresses the need to incorporate the implementation of the Industrial Emissions Directive into the next round of the Review. <b>In this respect, the IMPEL network should have a more prominent role in the process in order to support the collection of good practices for 2019.</b></p> <p>2018 is the fourth year in a row of the IMPEL IED Implementation project. It has become a very successful IMPEL activity attracting a lot of environmental officers from numerous European countries. In the last years, IMPEL has had to raise the budget of the project in order to accommodate this increased interest. This is a clear indicator that there is need for exchange on IED topics between the European competent authorities with responsibility for IED implementation. The IED Implementation project has established itself meanwhile as one of the main long running activities of the IMPEL network.</p>	

One of the highlights of the last years activities are the joint inspections under the IED Implementation project. Meanwhile there were joint inspections of installations falling under: steel production, refining of crude oil, waste processing, energy production, aluminium production, rearing of pigs, animal feed production and chemical industry. During these inspections inspectors from the host country are joined by inspectors from IMPEL member countries to exchange expert views and learn from each other. The results are compiled and used to improve the inspections. There is always more interest from inspectors to join than places for participation. So, at the last meeting of the project in 2017 in Portugal there were 3 joint inspections in parallel.

In the last three years, the project team has developed a lot of good practice examples that were put into a specially designed guidance book. This book not only contains guidance from the running project but also from previous and related IMPEL projects dealing with issues of industrial regulation. The IED project itself developed in sub-groups good practice examples for the following topics:

- Translation of BAT Associated Emission Levels (AELs) into Emission Limit Values
- Levels of non-compliance; publication of inspection reports
- Bankruptcy and temporary or definitive cessation of activity in IED installations
- Self-monitoring and reporting obligations of the operators
- Tools for regulating IED installations
- Joint inspections
- Definitions [in legislation]
- Horizontal aspects of permitting
- Minimum content of IED inspections
- Feedback from the inspector in the BREF-cycle
- How to check industrial waste water BAT
- Going beyond BAT (application of Article 18).

There are also a lot of other issues that shall be developed to good practice during the 2018 project and in the future (see 2.4).

In 2017 the IED Implementation project had a common project meeting and a common workshop with the IMPEL project “Doing the right things (DTRT) in the permitting process”. During these meetings it was decided to join the forces and develop common guidance based on the permitting cycle and the inspection cycle of DTRT. The IED Implementation project will deliver fact sheets for the different steps of the regulatory cycles. As a result, internet based guidance for industrial permitting and inspection will be created that shall be used as basic concept for the IRI’s and as training material for competent authorities. Both projects already started with the preparation.

#### **2.4 Desired outcome of the work (what do you want to achieve? What will be better / done differently as a result of this project?)**

A general goal of the project is to establish the project team as a core group of the Industry and Air Expert Team and a sustainable cooperation of European enforcement authorities. This includes: improvement of the IED implementation in Europe; raising the percentage of BAT application through common understanding and expert exchange, fast exchange of solutions concerning implementation problems; facilitating implementation; joint inspections; web applications and tools; invited speakers of related projects and activities outside IMPEL; feedback to the COM on implementation of the IED; new ways of identifying implementation gaps.

A lot of these general goals have already been achieved, e. g. a vivid exchange of problems and solutions via Basecamp, discussions with stakeholders of related projects, of the Seveso Expert Group, of the Joint Research Centre (BAT), and the Commission. In the last three years the common

understanding of problems and solutions within the project group has grown intensely. Now is the time to spread the word and start preparing training material for competent authorities. A first training session will already start in 2018 and shall be organised like the IRAM trainings which had been carried out several times per year since 2012 in IMPEL member countries.

A lot of good practice has already been developed by the projects in the last years and put into the guidance book on IED implementation. There are still a lot of issues identified by the Implementation Challenge project, IMPEL member countries, the European Commission, and the project and workshop participants. The identified topics for further developing good practice are:

- Emission limit values (ELVs) – ambient air quality
- Implementation of new BREFs
- Application of BAT within 4 years after publication of BAT conclusions (timetable)
- Making changes to permits – what is a significant change
- Streamlining IED and EIA permits
- Integrated permits (one stop shop)  
How to deal with other than normal operating conditions
- Concentration vs. mass emission limits
- Control of installations dealing with VOC and falling under the IED
- Non-routine inspections
- Charging regimes
- Public participation.

At every project meeting and during the workshop the IED implementation of the host country/authority is presented and discussed by the project members. This gives a fast and good overview on the approaches in different European countries and is a good addition to the IMPEL IRIs.

A special highlight of the 2018 workshop will be the implementation of the use of BAT-conclusions in the cement production industry with exchange of experience in the following fields:

- Definition of installation (boundaries of an installation) in cement production;
- Permitting of existing Installations / Reconsideration and updating of permit conditions;
- Permitting of new Installations;
- Use of BAT;
- IED Baseline Report;
- Environmental Inspections;
- Use of BAT in permitting and inspection.

## **2.5 Does this project link to any previous or current IMPEL projects? (state which projects and how they are related)**

Projects dealing with the IED in a broader sense but also the IRIs and the DTRT and easyTools projects are linked to this activity. The results and good practices of former projects dealing with industrial issues are already included in the IED Implementation Guidance Book. The project managers of related projects were invited to meetings of the IED Implementation project to give a presentation and discuss how their results could be included into the guidance.

These projects are:

- Derogations from BAT in IED permits

- IED baseline report on soil and ground water contamination
- IED and Habitat Directive
- Doing the right things in (IED) the permitting process
- IPPC and Water Framework Directive
- Air quality and industrial emissions
- The transition to IED permits and how to deal with substantial change at a permitted facility
- Implementation and use of BREFs
- INSPECT-CEM – Environmental Inspection Guidelines for the Cement Clinker Industry
- Environmental inspections of industrial installations in accordance with the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED)

In addition, a representative of the Technical Working Group on Inspections of the Seveso Expert Group was also invited to exchange the experiences of these related approaches.

### 3. Structure of the proposed activity

#### 3.1 Describe the activities of the proposal (what are you going to do and how?)

In 2018 there will be three project meetings, one workshop and three joint inspections with site visits of one or more installations each. One of the project meetings will be used to prepare the workshop with special emphasis on BAT implementation in the cement industry. During the project meetings and the workshop, the host countries will give a presentation of the IED implementation in their countries. Guidance and best practice examples that have already been developed in the first years of the project and from other projects will be put into a form that is useful for the guidance book. Based on this fact sheets will be developed for the internet guidance and the training material together with the DTRT project.

Priority topics from the issues described in 2.4 will be chosen by the project group for the development of solutions and guidance by sub-groups of the project. These topics will also be discussed and developed further during the workshop of the project together with BAT conclusions for the cement industry. Coming from that further guidance will be prepared as described above.

A package of training material will be compiled and put on the internet for direct use of competent authorities. A first face to face training will also already start in 2018. The joint inspections shall be developed further to put them on a more formal basis like the “Exchange of Inspectors Programme in the areas of REACH and CLP” of the European Commission.

Project managers of related projects will also be invited to the workshop to present and discuss the results of their projects. In addition, members of the Commission will also be invited to discuss the results of the projects and priority topics of further investigations.

#### 3.2 Describe the products of the proposal (what are you going to produce in terms of output / outcome?)

##### Outputs:

- Technical advice for problems related to the IED implementation and good practice examples
- Guidance for (joint) inspections of industrial installations
- Training material for Competent Authorities based on guidance on the internet
- IMPEL member examples for IED implementation
- Inclusion of the results of related projects

- Inspection tools
- Results from a technical workshop on implementation of IED and BAT
- Examples for BAT implementation by IMPEL members

**Outcome:**

- Laid foundations to start with trainings of Competent Authorities in the IED implementation
- Well organised joint industrial inspections on a formal basis
- Reduction of the IED implementation gap and achievement of a level playing field within IMPEL member countries (see also 2.4)

**3.3 Describe the milestones of this proposal (how will you know if you are on track to complete the work on time?)**

- Development of the work program 2018 together with DTRT: January/February 2018
- Work of the sub-groups on not yet finished topics of the 2017 project: Jan/Feb 2018
- January/February 2018: sub-project team meeting to develop a questionnaire on BAT implementation in the cement industry
- Finalising the work program at the project group meeting: March 2018
- Decision on the development of the internet guidance and training material: March 2018
- Joint inspection: back to back with the project group meetings and the workshop
- Development of a formal joint inspection program: starting in March 2018
- Work of the sub-groups on new topics of the 2018 project: April/May 2018
- New project groups on further topics at the second project group meeting: June 2018
- Preparation of the workshop at the second project group meeting: June 2018
- Workshop: country approach / good practices / cement industry: September 2018
- Development of guidance and good practice examples: until October 2018
- First training session on IED implementation: October 2018
- Autumn 2018: presentation of the results of the WS and the report at the ET meeting
- November/December 2018: adoption of the final report by the General Assembly

**3.4 Risks (what are the potential risks for this project and what actions will be put in place to mitigate these?)**

The first risk is that only a few countries collaborate within this activity. The new IMPEL strategic approach for actively encourage and support passive members was used to mitigate this risk. The big interest in the project in the last years shows that this is no real risk.

The second risk is that outputs of the project are only recognized by a small group of active project members. The new approach to develop internet guidance and training material together with the DTRT project and the intention to start with trainings in 2018 will help to make the project a success. In addition the new strategic IMPEL approach on communication of IMPEL results shall also be used to mitigate this risk (see item 8).

## 4. Organisation of the work

<b>4.1 Lead (who will lead the work: name, organisation and country) – this must be confirmed prior to submission of the TOR to the General Assembly)</b>	
Horst Büther, Regional Government Cologne, Germany, Florin Homorean, National Environmental Guard, Romania, Cement-BAT: Guenter Dussing/Robert Gross, Land Salzburg, Austria	
<b>4.2 Project team (who will take part: name, organisation and country)</b>	
<b>Austria</b>	Robert Gross, <a href="mailto:robert.gross@salzburg.gv.at">robert.gross@salzburg.gv.at</a>
<b>Belgium</b>	Martine Blondeel, <a href="mailto:martine.blondeel@lne.vlaanderen.be">martine.blondeel@lne.vlaanderen.be</a> (Flanders) Annelies Baert, <a href="mailto:annelies.baert@lne.vlaanderen.be">annelies.baert@lne.vlaanderen.be</a> (Flanders) Olivier Dekyvere, <a href="mailto:olivier.dekyvere@spw.wallonie.be">olivier.dekyvere@spw.wallonie.be</a> (Wallonie)
<b>Croatia</b>	Dubravka Pajkin Tuckar, <a href="mailto:Dubravka.Pajkin.Tuckar@mzoip.hr">Dubravka.Pajkin.Tuckar@mzoip.hr</a>
<b>Cyprus</b>	Chrystalla Stylianou, <a href="mailto:cstylianou@environment.moa.gov.cy">cstylianou@environment.moa.gov.cy</a>
<b>Czech Republic</b>	Tomáš Augustin, <a href="mailto:augustin@bn.cizp.cz">augustin@bn.cizp.cz</a>
<b>Denmark</b>	Rikke Cochran, <a href="mailto:rc@horsens.dk">rc@horsens.dk</a>
<b>Estonia</b>	Silva Prihodko, <a href="mailto:Silva.Prihodko@kki.ee">Silva.Prihodko@kki.ee</a>
<b>Finland</b>	Jaakko Vesivalo, <a href="mailto:jaakko.vesivalo@ely-keskus.fi">jaakko.vesivalo@ely-keskus.fi</a>
<b>Germany</b>	Horst Büther, <a href="mailto:horst.buether@bezreg-koeln.nrw.de">horst.buether@bezreg-koeln.nrw.de</a> (Project lead) Hartmut Teutsch, <a href="mailto:hartmut.teutsch@gewerbeaufsicht.bremen.de">hartmut.teutsch@gewerbeaufsicht.bremen.de</a> Wulf Böckenhaupt, <a href="mailto:wulf.boeckenhaupt@brk.nrw.de">wulf.boeckenhaupt@brk.nrw.de</a>
<b>Iceland</b>	nn
<b>Italy</b>	Romano Ruggeri, <a href="mailto:rruggeri@arpa.sardegna.it">rruggeri@arpa.sardegna.it</a> (ARPA Sardegna) Fabio Colonna, <a href="mailto:f.colonna@arpalombardia.it">f.colonna@arpalombardia.it</a> (ARPA Lombardia) Roberto Borghesi, <a href="mailto:robertoborghesig@gmail.com">robertoborghesig@gmail.com</a> (ISPRA) Francesco Andreotti, <a href="mailto:francesco.andreotti@isprambiente.it">mailto:francesco.andreotti@isprambiente.it</a> (ISPRA)
<b>Malta</b>	Ruth Ciarlo, <a href="mailto:ruth.ciarlo@era.org.mt">ruth.ciarlo@era.org.mt</a>
<b>Netherlands</b>	Pieter Roos (Ministerie I&M), <a href="mailto:pieter.roos@minienm.nl">pieter.roos@minienm.nl</a> Marinus Jordaan (DCMR), <a href="mailto:marinus.jordaan@dcmr.nl">marinus.jordaan@dcmr.nl</a>
<b>Poland</b>	Malgorzata Budzynska, <a href="mailto:m.budzynska@gios.gov.pl">m.budzynska@gios.gov.pl</a>
<b>Portugal</b>	Antonio Quintas, <a href="mailto:aquintas@igamaot.gov.pt">aquintas@igamaot.gov.pt</a> Elizabete Ramos, <a href="mailto:elisabete.ramos@apambiente.pt">elisabete.ramos@apambiente.pt</a>
<b>Romania</b>	Florin Homorean, <a href="mailto:homorean@yahoo.com">homorean@yahoo.com</a>
<b>Slovakia</b>	Cyril Burda, <a href="mailto:cyril.burda@sizp.sk">cyril.burda@sizp.sk</a>
<b>Slovenia</b>	Vladimir Kaiser, <a href="mailto:vladimir.kaiser@gov.si">vladimir.kaiser@gov.si</a>
<b>Spain</b>	María Jesús Mallada, <a href="mailto:mmallada@larioja.org">mmallada@larioja.org</a> Manuel Salgado Blanco <a href="mailto:manuel.salgado.blanco@xunta.es">manuel.salgado.blanco@xunta.es</a>
<b>Sweden</b>	Maria Enroth, <a href="mailto:maria.enroth@naturvardsverket.se">maria.enroth@naturvardsverket.se</a> <a href="mailto:Eva.Dalenslam@Naturvardsverket.se">mailto:Eva.Dalenslam@Naturvardsverket.se</a>
<b>Turkey</b>	Şenay Aslan, <a href="mailto:senay.aslan@csb.gov.tr">senay.aslan@csb.gov.tr</a>
<b>UK</b>	Jamie McGeachy, <a href="mailto:jamie.mcgeachy@sepa.org.uk">jamie.mcgeachy@sepa.org.uk</a>
<b>NN</b>	to be proposed by the National Coordinators
<b>BAT-Conclusion in the cement industry:</b> Guenter Dussing, <a href="mailto:guenter.dussing@salzburg.gv.at">guenter.dussing@salzburg.gv.at</a> / Robert Gross, <a href="mailto:robert.gross@salzburg.gv.at">robert.gross@salzburg.gv.at</a> Tbc, Land Salzburg (waste department) Gerhard Ederer, <a href="mailto:gerhard.ederer@noel.gv.at">gerhard.ederer@noel.gv.at</a> Hubert Grech, <a href="mailto:hubert.grech@bmlfuw.gv.at">hubert.grech@bmlfuw.gv.at</a> Jaana Leppänen, <a href="mailto:jaana.leppanen@ely-keskus.fi">jaana.leppanen@ely-keskus.fi</a> María Valero Gil <a href="mailto:maria.valero.gil@xunta.gal">maria.valero.gil@xunta.gal</a>	

**4.3 Other IMPEL participants (name, organisation and country)**

Further environmental officers of different national competent IED authorities to participate in the technical workshop and the conference, especially members of the Industry Expert Team and regulators dealing with cement industry. Invited speaker of related projects at the workshop.

**4.4. Other non-IMPEL participants (name, organisation and country)**

Close contact with desk officers of the EU Commission dealing with industrial environmental law

**5. High level budget projection of the proposal. In case this is a multi-year project, identify future requirements as much as possible**

	<b>Year 1 (exact)</b>	<b>Year 2</b>	<b>Year 3</b>	<b>Year 4</b>
<b>How much money do you require from IMPEL?</b>	62,880 €	ditto	ditto	ditto
<b>How much money is to be co-financed</b>	15,000 €	ditto	ditto	ditto
<b>Total budget</b>	77,880 €	ditto	ditto	ditto



## 6. Detailed event costs of the work for year 1

	<b>Travel €</b> (max €360 per return journey)	<b>Hotel €</b> (max €90 per night)	<b>Catering €</b> (max €25 per day)	<b>Total costs €</b>
<b>Event 1</b>	1,000 €	900 €	400 €	2,300 €
<Sub-project group meeting>	(5 travelling	(5 travelling		
<January/February 2018>	participants,	participants,		
<tbd>	thereof 2 not	thereof 2 not		
<8>	from Austria)	from Austria)		
<...>				
<b>Event 2</b>	9,000 €	4,500 €	1,300 €	14,800 €
<Project group meeting>	(25 travelling	(for 25	(for 26	
<March 2018>	participants)	participants)	participants)	
<tbd>				
<25>				
<2/2>				
<b>Event 3</b>	9,000 €	4,500 €	1,300 €	14,800 €
< Project group meeting >	(25 travelling	(for 25	(for 26	
<June 2018>	participants)	participants)	participants)	
<tbd>				
<25>				
<2/2>				
<b>Event 4</b>	13,680 €	10,260 €	2,925 €	26,865 €
< Conference/workshop >	(38 travelling	(for 38	(for 39	
<September 2018>	participants	participants)	participants)	
<tbd>	including			
<38>	invited			
<3/3>	speakers)			
<b>Event 5</b>	0 €	1,080€	525 €	1,605 €
<Joint inspections>	(back to back	(for 4	(for 7	
<March, June, September	with events 1,	participants	participants	
<2017>	2 and 3)	each time)	each time)	
<tbd->				
<4 each>				
<1/1>				
<b>Event 5</b>	1,080 €	540 €	150 €	1,770 €
<IED Training session>	(3 travelling	(for 3 trainers)	(for 3 trainers)	
<October 2017>	trainers)			
<tbd->				
<3>				
<2/2>				
<b>Total costs for all events</b>	33,760 €	21,780 €	6,600 €	62,140 €

## 7. Detailed other costs of the work for year 1

<b>7.1 Are you using a consultant?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>7.2 What are the total costs for the consultant?</b>	IED: 15,000 € BAT: to be decided
<b>7.3 Who is paying for the consultant?</b>	IED: Germany (if budget will be confirmed) BAT: Austria
<b>7.4. What will the consultant do?</b>	Organising the meetings, supporting the working groups, transformation of the project outputs into a format that can be used by all competent IED authorities and preparation of material that can be used for IMPEL communication purposes.
<b>7.5 Are there any additional costs?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Namely: Transport of inspectors, web based tools
<b>7.6 What are the additional costs for?</b>	1. Transport of inspectors to industry sites during the joint inspections 2. Development of web based tools for inspections
<b>7.7 Who is paying for the additional costs?</b>	1. IMPEL: 740 € 2. Done by DTRT
<b>7.8. Are you seeking other funding sources?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>7.9 Do you need budget for communications around the project? If so, describe what type of activities and the related costs</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## 8. Communication and follow-up (checklist)

	What		By when
<b>8.1 Indicate which communication materials will be developed throughout the project and when</b>  <i>(all to be sent to the communications officer at the IMPEL secretariat)</i>	TOR <sup>✓</sup> * Interim report <sup>✓</sup> * Project report <sup>✓</sup> * Progress report(s) <sup>✓</sup> Press releases News items for the website <sup>✓</sup> * News items for the e-newsletter Project abstract <sup>✓</sup> * IMPEL at a Glance <sup>✓</sup> Other, (give details): PPP for project presentation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	October 2017 June 2018 October 2018 March/June/Sept. 2018 Workshop June 2018 After 1st meeting After 3rd meeting October 2018 After 1st meeting
<b>8.2 Milestones / Scheduled meetings (for the website diary)</b>	Questionnaire in March 2018		
<b>8.3 Images for the IMPEL image bank</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
<b>8.4 Indicate which materials will be translated and into which languages</b>	Project abstract / IMPEL at a glance: languages of the participating countries of the technical workshop		
<b>8.5 Indicate if web-based tools will be developed and if hosting by IMPEL is required</b>	This will be done by the DTRT project		
<b>8.6 Identify which groups/institutions will be targeted and how</b>	The main target group consists of competent authorities for IED implementation and Industry and Air Experts. They will be targeted by the means under 8.1 and by discussion at other IMPEL events.		
<b>8.7 Identify parallel developments / events by other organisations, where the project can be promoted</b>	CCA TG 2 meetings, IRIs, meetings with COM, TFS technical workshops, national IMPEL meetings, international conferences, TAIEX workshops, Twinning projects		

<sup>✓</sup>) Templates are available and should be used. <sup>\*</sup>) Obligatory

## 9. Remarks

*Is there anything else you would like to add to the Terms of Reference that has not been covered above?*

*In case of doubts or questions please contact the  
IMPEL Secretariat.*

*Draft and final versions need to be sent to the  
IMPEL Secretariat in word format, not in PDF.*

*Thank you.*