#### TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR IMPEL PROJECT

\* Please read the supporting notes before filling in each section of this form.

### 1. Project details

No	Name of project
2012/15	IMPEL TFS Enforcement Actions III

# 2. Scope

2.30000	The state of the s		
2.1. Background	The Waste Shipment Regulation (1013/2006/EC) requires Member States to		
	inspect shipments of waste and to co-operate with each other.		
	The Enforcement Actions project was set up for the following reasons:		
	- Some Member States expressed the need for a formalised project framework		
	in order to integrate this with the enforcement inspections in their own		
	countries;		
	- International cooperation is essential to tackle international environmental		
	problems; and		
	- The network of enforcers in the field should be maintained and extended to		
	cover all Member States.		
	These reasons are still valid for extending the project. Enforcements Actions II has		
	allowed participants to gain valuable experience on inspection methods,		
	enforcement structures, planning inspections and exchange of staff and		
	information.		
	Responses to a recent questionnaire of participants of the Enforcement Actions II		
	project revealed that without this project, they would have less impetus to plan		
	and undertake TFS inspections. Overwhelmingly, they want this project to		
	continue.		
	Draft terms of reference will be presented to the General Assembly in November		
	2011 for an Enforcement Actions III project.		
	Given that Enforcement Actions II had participants from 25 Member States and		
	seven other countries, it is felt that an 'exchanges' project be undertaken in order		
	to maintain the momentum for collaborative waste shipments enforcement.		
2.2. Directive /	Regulation 1013/2006/EC on shipments of waste		
Regulation / Decision			
2.3. Article and	Article 50(2) – 'Member States shall, by way of measures for the enforcement of		
description	this Regulation, inter alia, for inspections of establishments and undertakings.'		
	Article 50(5) – 'Member States shall cooperation, bilaterally or multilaterally, with		
	one another in order to facilitate the prevention and detection of illegal		
	shipments'		
2.4 Link to the 6 <sup>th</sup> EAP	Articles 3(2) and 8(1) of the EAP Council and EP Decision		
2.5. Link to MAWP	IMPEL-TFS has a Multi-Annual Working Programme. A third phase of the		
	Enforcement Actions Project would accord well with the aims of the MAWP in that		
	it promotes:		
	- Capacity building		
	- Improving methodologies		
	- Development of good practice, and		
	- IMPEL and dissemination of its products		
2.6. Objective (s)	The objectives of this project are:		
	1. To work towards an adequate level of inspections in all Member States and a		
	consistent level of enforcement at all exit points of the EU;		
	2. Promote site inspections at points of loading and encourage a cradle-to-grave		
	<ul><li>approach to inspection to minimise illegal shipments;</li><li>To verify waste destination and the treatment at their destination within or</li></ul>		

- outside Europe;
- 4. To provide an easily accessible European enforcement project for all Member States, and encourage them to co-operate;
- 5. To detect illegal shipments and deter future ones through effective communication and guidance;
- 6. To facilitate take-back procedures after an illegal shipment has taken place;
- 7. To maintain and improve the network of front line inspectors, inspection methods, exchange of information and knowledge; and
- 8. Demonstrate that the Member States take the enforcement of the WSR seriously.

#### 3. Structure of the project

3. Structure of the proje	
3.1. Activities  3.2. Product(s)	<ul> <li>Co-ordinated exchanges between participating countries during 2012 and 2013.</li> <li>Inspections during three months in 2012 and 2012.</li> <li>undertaking an adequate level of inspections with other competent authorities (such as Police and customs) on waste shipments (harbours, trains, companies and road traffic)</li> <li>Chain approach: competent authorities to check the recovery facility in order to check that a shipment accords with the principle of 'environmentally sound management'. Also verification within non-OECD countries which have interrelation with another TFS-project on the establishment of an enforcement network in Asia.</li> <li>Communication about this project and the different inspections.</li> <li>Collation and analysis of the results of the inspections by a consultant</li> <li>Organisation of an 'annual best practice' meeting</li> <li>16 exchanges of front-line inspectors during inspections periods.</li> <li>Attendance at National Contact Point meeting in September 2012 and 2013 to reflect upon project and discuss requirements and proposals for next phase.</li> <li>Attendance at General Assembly to present draft ToRs for Enforcement Actions III.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li></li></ul>
3.3. Planning (Milestones)	January 2012 onwards – approval of exchanges and organisation February 2012 – Best Practice meeting and Meeting of Project Group February 2012 – Dissemination of questionnaire on risk assessment March 2012 – Inspection and exchange period June 2012 – Inspection and exchange period October 2012 – Inspection and exchange period
	November 2012 - Compilation of results into Interim Report and update to

General Assembly
February 2013 – Best Practice meeting and Meeting of Project Group
March 2013 – Inspection Period
October 2013 – Inspection Period
November 2013 – Update to General Assembly and Final Conference
December 2013 – Finalisation of Project Report
February 2014 - Best Practice meeting and Meeting of Project Group to approve report.
Spring 2014 – Presentation of final report to General Assembly

### 4. Organisation

4.1. Lead	Katie Willis, Scottish Environment Protection Agency	
4.2. Project team	Scotland, Northern Ireland, The Netherlands, Germany and Malta.	
	(Sweden, Austria, Germany, Northern Ireland and The Netherlands have agreed to	
	review the Road Inspections Matrix and guidance on risk assessments).	
4.3. Participants	The following countries participate actively within the current Enforcement Actions project: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, England and Wales, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany (some Federal States), Hungary, Ireland, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Macedonia, The Netherlands, Northern Ireland, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Scotland, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain and Sweden.	
	Others to be encouraged to join: Greece, Italy, Iceland and Slovakia.	
	Also Croatia, Norway, Turkey, Serbia and Switzerland participate in the previous Enforcement Action.	

# 5. Quality review

NCP meeting and IMPEL-TFS Steering Committee.

### 6. Communications

6.1. Dissemination of results	When completed, the (interim) results will be disseminated to the various stakeholders: IMPEL network, European Commission, INECE, Member States, National Contact Points, European Parliament, Waste Shipment Correspondents Group, Basel Secretariat and NGOs. Furthermore shall the reports be published on the IMPEL Website.
6.2. Main target European Commission, IMPEL and Member States' competent authorities.	
6.3. Planned follow up	Enforcement Actions III (2012-14)

# 7. 1 Project costs/Resources required (2012)

	Estimated	Budget requested
	costs	from IMPEL, 2012 (€)
Project meetings in total		
Meeting 1: Best Practice		
Meeting		
No of Participants: (30	30	30
inspectors)		
Travel (30*360):	10800	10800
Accommodation:	5400	5400
Catering:	1500	1500
Meeting venue:	500	500
Subtotal:	18200	18200
Meeting 2: Exchange of Inspectors		
No of Participants: Countries (16 inspectors)	8	8
Travel (16*360):	5760	5760
Accommodation:	4320	4320
Catering:	1200	1200
Sub-Total:	11280	11280
Meeting 3:		
Presentation of		
Enforcement Actions III at		
General Assembly		
No of participants:	1	1
Travel:	360	360
Accommodation:	180	180
Catering:		
Meeting venue:		
Sub-Total:	540	540
Consultant:	20000	20000
• Translation:		
• Dissemination:		
<ul> <li>Attendance for</li> </ul>	650	650
Project Manager		
at Cluster meetings		
(National Contact		
Point):		
Other (specify):		
TOTAL	50670	50670
Human Resources	days	
	Project team – 10 days	
	Inspector days – 156 days	
	(based on 32 countries	
	inspecting and 30 attending best practice meeting)	
	nest practice	. meeting)

### 7.2 Project costs/Resources required (2013)

		Budget
	Estimated	requested
	costs	from IMPEL
a Dualant		2013 (€)
Project meetings		
in total		
Meeting 1: Best Practice		
Meeting  No of Participants: (30	30	30
inspectors)	30	30
Travel:	10800	10800
Accommodation:	5400	5400
Catering:	1500	1500
Meeting venue:	500	500
Sub-Total:	18200	18200
Meeting 2: Exchange of	10200	10200
Inspectors		
No of Participants:	8	8
Countries (16 inspectors)		
Travel:	5760	5760
Accommodation:	4320	4320
Catering:	1200	1200
Sub-Total:	11280	11280
Meeting 3		
Presentation of		
Enforcement Actions III at		
General Assembly		
No of participants:	1	1
Travel:	360	360
Accommodation:	180	180
Catering:		
Meeting venue:		
Sub-Total:	540	540
Consultant:	20000	20000
• Translation:		
Dissemination:		
Attendance for	650	650
Project Manager		
at Cluster meetings		
(National Contact		
Point):		
Other (specify):  TOTAL	E0670	F0670
TOTAL	50670	50670

Human Resources	Project Manager time – 25 days
	Project team – 10 days Inspector days – 156 days (based on 32 countries inspecting and 30 attending best practice meeting)

# 7.3 Project costs/Resources required (2014)

	Estimated costs	Budget requested from IMPEL, 2014 (€)
<ul> <li>Project meetings in total</li> </ul>		
Meeting 1: Best Practice		
Meeting Approval of final		
report		
No of Participants: (30 inspections)	30	30
Travel:	10800	10800
Accommodation:	5400	5400
Catering:	1500	1500
Meeting venue:	500	500
Sub-Total:	18200	18200
Meeting 2:		
Presentation of		
Enforcement Actions III at		
IMPEL-TFS Conference		
No of participants:	1	1
Travel:	360	360
Accommodation:	180	180
Catering:		
Meeting venue:	F.40	F40
Sub-Total:	540	540
Meeting 3: Presentation of		
Enforcement Actions III at		
IMPEL General Assembly		
No of participants:	1	1
Travel:	360	360
Accommodation:	180	180
Catering:		
Meeting venue:		
Sub-Total:	540	540
Consultant:	5000	5000
• Translation:		
Dissemination:		
Other (specify):		
TOTAL	24280	24280
Human Resources	Project Manager time – 12 days Project team – 5 days Inspector days – 60 days (best practice meetings)	

# 7.4 Project costs/Resources required (Total over 2012, 2013 and 2014)

	Estimated costs	Budget requested from IMPEL 2013 (€)
TOTAL	125620	125620
Human Resources (over three years)	Project Manager time – 38 days Project team – 25 days Inspector days – 372 days	